1	CIDEON VD A COV (CDNI 17003.5)		
1	GIDEON KRACOV (SBN 179815) 801 S. Grand Avenue, Ste. 1100		
2	Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.629.2071		
3	FAX 213.623.7755		
4	gk@gideonlaw.net		
5	Richard T. Drury (SBN 163559) Christina M. Caro (SBN 250797)		
6	LOZEAU DRURY LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607		
7	Tel: (510) 836-4200 Fax: (510) 836-4205 (fax)		
8	richard@lozeaudrury.com christina@lozeaudrury.com		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	Environmental Research Center, Inc.		
11	CHDEDIAD CAUDT AF THE C	TTATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
13			
14	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARĆH CENTER,)	Case No. BC467138	
15	INC., a non-profit California corporation,	•	
16	Plaintiff,	Assigned to Hon. Richard Rico	
17	v.	STIPULATION RE: REVISED [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION, a Utah corporation; DOES 1 through 10,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.	
19	Defendant(s).	Date: 8/31/12	
20		Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 17	
21			
22))	
23) }	
24			
25)	
26			
)	

26 ||

///

WHEREAS, on or about July 9, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Approve a [Proposed] Consent Judgment in the referenced Proposition 65 case, and the hearing on said Motion is set for August 31, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. in Department 17;

WHEREAS, the Motion to Approve and the [Proposed] Consent Judgment were served and electronically provided to the California Attorney General in compliance with the procedures and 45-day review timeline of the Proposition 65 settlement regulations, 11 Cal. Code Regs. § 3003;

WHEREAS, in response to comments from the California Attorney General, the parties have agreed to a Revised [Proposed] Consent Judgment;

WHEREAS, the Revised [Proposed] Consent Judgment contains one change at page 4:21-23, replacing the words "that contain lead in an amount in excess of that permitted by Proposition 65" with "for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains lead in an amount in excess of the level set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25805, subdivision (b)";

WHEREAS, 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25805 is a part of the Proposition 65 regulations and is entitled "Specific Regulatory Levels: Chemicals Causing Reproductive Toxicity";

WHEREAS, the California Attorney General has informed counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant in writing that the California Attorney General's Office will not object to the Revised [Proposed] Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 11 Cal. Code Regs. § 3003, it is required that "the papers filed with the court shall advise the court that the fact that the Attorney General does not object or otherwise respond to a settlement shall not be construed as endorsement of or concurrence in any settlement."

THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The executed Revised [Proposed] Consent Judgment is attached hereto as

1	Exhibit A and a redlined version identifying the change to the document is attached hereto as		
2	Exhibit B.		
3			
4	DATED: August 3 , 2012		LAW OFFICE OF GIDEON KRACOV
5		n.E	
6			ByGideon Kracov
7			Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
8			BIVINGIVIERVITE RESEARCH CENTER
9	DATED: August, 2012		BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
10	D111DD: 114gust, 2012	12	BOICEL, WIEDLING & SOILEINSEIN, BEI
11			By
12			Albert Tong Attorneys for Defendant THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION
13			THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION
14		en en	
15			*
16			
17			
18	0	*	
19			
20	÷		
21			
22			
23		·	
24			· ·
25			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
26			
	,	5	

1	Exhibit A and a redlined version identifying the change to the document is attached hereto as				
2	Exhibit B.				
3	,				
4	DATED: August 23 , 2012	LAW OFFICE OF GIDEON KRACOV			
5					
6		By Ciden Knoon			
7		Gideon Kracov Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER			
8		ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER			
9	DATED: Assessed in 2012	DIDUE WHITIAMS & SODENSEN IID			
10	DATED: August 2012	BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP			
11		Ву			
12		Albert Todg			
13	·	Attorneys for Defendant THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION			
14	,				
15					
16					
17					
18		· 하			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT				
26					

1	GIDEON KRACOV (SBN 179815)	
2	801 S. Grand Avenue, Ste. 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90017	
2	213.629.2071 FAX 213.623.7755	
3	gk@gideonlaw.net	
4		
5	Richard T. Drury (SBN 163559)	
6	Christina M. Caro (SBN 250797) LOZEAU DRURY LLP	
_	410 12th Street, Suite 250	
7	Oakland, CA 94607 Tel: (510) 836-4200	
8	Fax: (510) 836-4205 (fax) richard@lozeaudrury.com	
9	christina@lozeaudrury.com	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.	
11		
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
15		
16		
	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,)	Case No. <u>BC467138</u>
17	INC., a non-profit California corporation,	l Actual Action 11 - AC Dia
18	Plaintiff,	Assigned to Hon. Richard E. Rico
19	v.	REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
20	THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION, a Utah	Haalth & Cafaty Cada & 25240 6
21	corporation; DOES 1 through 10,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.
22	Defendant(s).	Dept: 17
23	IT IC HEDEDA CEDA ATENANTO A	ODEED has the Denties beauty on fall and
24	11 IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	GREED by the Parties hereto, as follows:
25	WHEREAS:	
26	REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUI	DGMENT
	, l	

Sunrider MetaBalance 44 Herbal Concentrate
Sunrider Dr. Chen Meta Booster
Sunrider Electrosport
Fortune Delight Peach Concentrated Herbal Beverage
Sun Bar Chocolate Herbal Food Bar
Chinese Goldenseal Root Herb Concentrate
Energy Plus Herbal Concentrate
Don Quai
Sunrider International Quinary

Any other products are not subject to the injunctive provisions herein, and are not covered by the release of liability herein;

- C. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- D. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- E. The Covered Products have allegedly been manufactured and/or sold by SUNRIDER for use in California since at least August 3, 2008;
- F. On July 7, 2010, November 5, 2010 and January 14, 2011, ERC served SUNRIDER and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided notice that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals using the Covered Products that the use of the Covered Products exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity ("Prop. 65 Notices") (a copy of the 60-Day Notices are attached hereto as Exhibit A);
- G. The Action was brought by ERC in the public interest at least sixty (60) days after ERC provided notice of the Proposition 65 violations to Defendant and the appropriate public

enforcement agencies and none of the public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against Defendant for such violations;

- H. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein;
- I. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment;
- J. The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, covenants and agreements herein contained, the sufficiency and adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged by Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively, the "Parties"), the Parties agree to the terms and conditions set forth below:

1. Injunctive Relief. Within 10 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall not distribute into the State of California, or ship for sale or use in California, any of the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains lead in an amount in excess of the level set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25805, subdivision (b), unless each such unit of the Covered Products bears the following warning statement securely affixed on its individual unit packaging:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm.

The warning statement shall be displayed on the unit packaging of the Covered Products with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual purchasing or using the Covered Products. Nothing in these injunctive provisions shall be construed to require Sunrider to continue to display the warning statement on the Covered Products in the following scenarios:

(I) if Sunrider modifies or reformulates the Covered Products so the amount of lead contained in each product is below the threshold requiring a warning under Proposition 65; (2) if new statutory or regulatory standards established applicable to lead no longer require Sunrider to display the warning statement for the Covered Products under Proposition 65; or (3) if new toxicological information or exposure assessments applicable to lead no longer require Sunrider to display the warning statement on the Covered Products under Proposition 65.

- 2. Civil Penalty, Payments in Lieu of Further Civil Penalties, Attorney's Fees, and Costs Assessment. Defendant agrees to pay the total amount of \$400,000.00 inclusive of all civil penalties, payments in lieu of penalties, attorneys' fees and costs assessments, and subject to approval by California's Attorney General and the Superior Court, as follows:
- (a) Defendant agrees to pay ERC a civil penalty in the amount of \$102,500.00 pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). Plaintiff ERC shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25192.
- (b) Defendant agrees to pay ERC a payment in lieu of further civil penalties in the amount of \$100,000.00 for projects to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals, and to increase consumer, worker, and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals.

- (c) Defendant agrees to reimburse Plaintiff \$197,500.00, which is the total amount of costs and fees Plaintiff incurred on this matter, which amount includes Plaintiff's investigative, expert and attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to the attention of Defendant, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 3. Payment Schedule. Pursuant to Section 2 herein, Defendant agrees to remit the total amount of \$400,000.00 to Plaintiff, payable to the "Law Offices of Gideon Kracov Client Trust Account" and remitted to the Law Office of Gideon Kracov at the law firm's address noted in the Notice provision below. The schedule for the payment of these funds shall be a payment in full within 10 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. In the event that any of the payments owed under this Consent Judgment is not remitted on or before its due date, Defendant shall be deemed to be in default of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. Plaintiff shall provide written notice to Defendant of any default; if Defendant fails to remedy the default within two business days of such notice, then all future payments due hereunder shall become immediately due and payable, with the prevailing federal funds rate applying to all interest accruing on unpaid balances due hereunder, beginning on the due date of the funds in default.
- 4. Plaintiff's Release of Defendant. Plaintiff, and its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates (including those companies that are under common ownership and/or common control), divisions, predecessors, successors, and subdivisions, acting on behalf of itself and acting on behalf of the general public, permanently and fully releases SUNRIDER, Sunrider Manufacturing, L.P. (including its limited and general partners), and its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

shareholders, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates (including those companies that are under common ownership and/or common control), divisions, predecessors, successors, subdivisions, downstream distributors, downstream retailers, downstream customers, and upstream suppliers,, all DOES, and each entity to whom each of them directly or indirectly distributed or sold the Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, and any other person or entity in the course of doing business who distributed, marketed or sold the Covered Products, from all claims of any nature asserted in the Proposition 65 Notices.

- 5. Limits of Release. Nothing in this release is intended to apply to (a) any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65; (b) any of Defendant's products not set forth in this Consent Judgment; or (c) any company which purchases the Covered Products from Defendant pursuant to a private label manufacturing agreement and resells them to California consumers under a different brand name or trademark.
- 6. Release of Environmental Research Center. SUNRIDER, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against ERC for all actions or statements made or undertaken by ERC in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against the Defendant by means of the Proposition 65 Notices.
- 7. Motion for Approval of Consent Judgment/Notice to the California Attorney General's Office. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Plaintiff shall notice a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the Los Angeles Superior Court pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations §3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all of the Parties to the Action and upon the California Attorney General's Office. In the event

that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the judgment, this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of any party as to them and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein. ERC shall use its best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment, ERC shall use its best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner and prior to the hearing on the motion to approve this Consent Judgment. If the Attorney General elects to file papers with the Court stating that the People shall appear at the hearing for entry of this Consent Judgment so as to oppose entry of the Consent Judgment, then a party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties and the Attorney General, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void and any payments made pursuant to Section 7 of this Consent Judgment shall be promptly returned to Sunrider.

- 8. Severability. In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9. Enforcement. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer within 20 days of receiving written notice of any alleged violation. In the event the Parties cannot resolve the dispute, this Consent Judgment may be enforced pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 or any other valid provision of law. The prevailing party in any such dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment may be awarded all reasonable fees and costs incurred, in addition to any other relief otherwise ordered by the Court.

10. Governing Law. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. Notices. All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this

Consent Judgment shall be in writing and shall be sent by first class registered or certified mail
addressed as follows. All correspondence to ERC shall be mailed to:

Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Gideon Kracov 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017

All correspondence to Defendant shall be mailed to:

The Sunrider Corporation ATTN:Paul McCabe, Esq., Brandon Lam, Esq. 1625 Abalone Avenue Torrance, CA 90501

David Laufer, Esq. Albert Tong, Esq. Burke, Williams & Sorensen LLP 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90071

12. **Documents & Materials.** ERC shall return all documents and materials that have been provided by SUNRIDER either voluntarily or through discovery. Sunrider shall return all documents and materials that have been provided by ERC either voluntarily or through discovery.

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 13. Integration & Modification. This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibits attached hereto which are specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the Parties.
- 14. Counterparts. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- 15. **Authorization.** The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.
- 16. No Admissions. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendant does not admit any violations of Proposition 65 or any other law or standard applicable to warning or disclosure concerning the manufacture, distribution, and/or sale of the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

1 2 3 4	DATED: 8/24/12	By:	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER Reuben Chen, Vice President THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION
5 6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8:	Dated:		Honorable Richard E. Rico
.9.			Judge of the Superior Court
10			
11			
12	EXHIBIT A - July 7, 2010, Nover	mber 5, 2	010 and January 14, 2011 Prop. 65 Notices
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
1,8			
19	The second secon		
20	,		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED (CONSENT	JUDGMENT

EXHIBIT A

Prop 65. Notices

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 12



Environmental Research Center

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

July 07, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL
Current President or CEO
THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION
1625 Abalone Ave
Torrance, CA 90501

Re: Notice of Violation against THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

The Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), the noticing entity is a non-profit California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead that is contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION.

This letter constitutes notification that THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION, located at 1625 Abalone Avenue, Torrance, CA 90501 has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this company has manufactured and distributed products that have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above. The primary route of exposure has been oral through ingestion.

THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION is exposing people to lead from the following products:

- Fortune Delight Peach Concentrated Herbal Beverage
- Vita Shake Cocoa Concentrated Herbal Food
- Dandelion Root Herb Concentrate
- Sun Bar Chocolate Herbal Food Bar
- Chinese Goldenseal Root Herb Concentrate
- Metabalance 44 Herbal Concentrate
- Energy Plus Herbal Concentrate
- Alpha 20C Herbal Concentrate
- Dr. Chen Meta Booster
- Quinary Herbal Concentrate
- Don Quai
- Korean White Ginseng

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION is in violation of Proposition 65 because the company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party. ERC remains open to discussing the possibility of resolving its grievances short of formal litigation. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Karen A. Evans, 4218 Biona Pl., San Diego, CA 92116, telephone no.: 619-640-8100, e-mail: kaevansl@cox.net.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall Executive Director, Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen A. Evans

Attachments
OEHHA Summary
Certificate of Ment (w/o AG attachments)
Certificate of Service
List of Service

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information. Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May I, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt. Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens. Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect. Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice. A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 (d)

- I, Karen A. Evans hereby declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the Notice of Violation in which it is alleged that the party identified in the Notice has violated Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party Environmental Research Center ("ERC"). ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. The Notice of Violation alleges that the party identified has exposed persons in California to lead from products that it manufactures and distributes. Please refer to the Notice of Violation for additional details regarding the alleged violations.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. In particular, I have reviewed the resulting data from the laboratory that conducted the testing to determine the concentration of lead in the products identified in the Notice of Violation and I have relied on the testing results. The testing was conducted by a reputable testing laboratory with substantial experience in testing for lead. These facts, studies or other data derived through this investigation overwhelmingly demonstrate that the party identified in the Notice of Violation exposes persons to lead through oral exposure (ingestion).
- 4. Based on my consultation with persons of appropriate experience, the results of the laboratory testing, as well as published studies on lead, it is clear that there is sufficient evidence that

Page 7

human exposures exist from exposure to the products from the noticed party. Furthermore, as a

result of the above, I have concluded that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the

private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means

that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be

established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish

any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.

5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the California Attorney General attaches to it

factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information

identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h) (2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons

consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by

those persons.

Dated: July 07, 2010

Karen A. Evans

Attorney for Environmental Research Center

Kaun a. Evant

7

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On July 07, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"

on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO
THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION
1625 Abalone Ave
Torrance, CA 90501

On July 07, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title 11 CCR §3102) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On July 07, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on July 07, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1725 Fallen Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94613

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oraville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calayeras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Anomay, Colusa Couniy 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Agoméy, Comm Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Andmey, Bel Norre County 450 H Street, Str. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County-515 Main Street Piacerville, CA 95667

District Automey, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, \$1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Anomey, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Aitomey, Humboldi County 825 5th Street Eureka, ÇA 95501

District Attentey, Imperial County 939 West Majin Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County Post Office Drawer D Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings Copety 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93250

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 545 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Afforney, Madera County 209 West Yosemire Avenue Madera, CA.93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendacino County Post Office Box 1990 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 "M" Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modec County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney; Moso County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey Conny 230 Church Street, Bidg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attenney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

· ...

3,

District Attorney, Physer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Sts 240 Roseville, CA 95603

District Attamey, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sucremento County 901 "G" Street Sucramento, CA 95814

District Anomey, Šen Benito County 419 Fourth Sirver, 2rd Floor Hullister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-9084

District Address, San Diego Churty 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92112

District Atlorney, San Francisco Consity \$50 Bryan Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Anomey, San Joaquin County Past Office Box 999 Stockton, CA 95202

Distriot Afterney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Atlotney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94863

District Attorney, Same Barbara County 1105 Santa Rachera Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Atoméy, Santa Clára County 70 Wost Fielding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Reem 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Sharta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 98001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County 100 Courbouse Square, 2rd Floor Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yrchr, CA 96097

District Attentity, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Sic 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2121 Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 872 12^{di} Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Anomey, Sulfer County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Teliania County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trisiny County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare Crumy 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuoldinne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA.95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Allorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 92695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Atlomey's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Sur Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, CA 94162

San Jose City Additions a Diffice 200 East Sonta Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



Environmental Research Center

5594 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

November 5, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President The Sunrider Corporation 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

Paul McCabe (The Sunrider Corporation's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violation against The Sunrider Corporation for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

The Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), the noticing entity is a California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194, Executive Director: Chris Heptinstall. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead that is contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by The Sunrider Corporation.

This letter constitutes notification that The Sunrider Corporation, located at 1625 Abalone Ave., Torrance, CA 90501, has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this Company has manufactured and distributed products that have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above, at least since November 5, 2007, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users.

The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, the primary route of exposure for consumers has been oral through ingestion, but may also occur through the inhalation and/or demnal contact route of exposure.

The Sunrider Corporation is exposing people to lead from the following products:

Sunrider International NuPlus
Sunrider International Liqui-Five 5 Fl oz
Sunrider International Quinary
Sunrider International Alpha 20C 1.76 oz
Sunrider International Sunrise 5 Fl oz
Sunrider International Vitafruit 11 Fl oz
Sunrider International Vitalite Sport Caps 1.85 oz
Sunrider International WuChiaPi Herb Concentrate Net Wt 1.85 oz
Sunrider International Vitalite Slim Caps Net Wt 1.94 oz
Sunrider International Assimilaid Net Wt 1.76 oz
Sunrider International Vitalite Action Caps Net Wt 1.85 oz
Sunrider International Tei-Fu Metashaper Net Wt 1.94 oz

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. The Sumider Corporation is in violation of Proposition 65 because the Company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead. (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against. The Sunrider Corporation unless it agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: instrument to: (1) recall or reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further unwarned exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Gideon Kracov, 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017, 213-629-2071, gk@gideonlaw.net.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director

Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen A. Evans

Attachments
Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to The Sunrider Corporation and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by The Sunrider Corporation

I, Gideon Kracov, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: November 5, 2010

Gideon Kracov, Attorney At Law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On November 5, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President The Sunrider Corporation 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

Paul McCabe (The Sunrider Corporation's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

On November 5, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On November 5, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on November 5, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202. Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Afforney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Tructum Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

> District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2rd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardine County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardine, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stocktox, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Matee County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2123 Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tchama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Talare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Afforney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N, Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 I Drive Cadton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA. 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113



Environmental Research Center

5694 Mission Center Road #199 San Diego, CA 92108 619.309.4194

January 14, 2011

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President The Sunrider Corporation 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

Paul McCabe (The Sunrider Corporation's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Re: Notice of Violation against The Sunrider Corporation for Violation of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6

Dear Prosecutors:

The Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), the noticing entity is a California corporation whose mission is to safeguard the public from health hazards that impact families, workers and the environment. ERC is dedicated to reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC is located at 5694 Mission Center Road, # 199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194, Executive Director: Chris Heptinstall. Through this Notice of Violation, ERC seeks to reduce exposure to the public from lead that is contained in the named products manufactured and distributed by The Sunrider Corporation.

This letter constitutes notification that The Sunrider Corporation, located at 1625 Abalone Ave., Torrance, CA 90501, has violated the warning requirement of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code).

In particular, this Company has manufactured and distributed products that have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to lead. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987. Lead was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a carcinogen on October 1, 1992. The time period of these violations commenced one year after the listed dates above, at least since January 14, 2008, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users.

The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, the primary route of exposure for consumers has been oral through ingestion, but may also occur through the inhalation and/or dermal contact route of exposure.

The Sunrider Corporation is exposing people to lead from the following products:

Sunrider International Dr. Chen Veros 50 Capsules
Sunrider International Vitalite Fibertone 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Lifestream 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Top 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Vitalite Vitataste 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Vitalite Sunfit 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Prime Again 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Spirulina 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Conco 100 Capsules
Sunrider International White Willow Bark 100 Capsules
Sunrider International White Willow Bark 100 Capsules
Sunrider Corp. Vitalite Sun Trim 100 Capsules
Sunrider International Dr. Chen Regular Secret Sauce (425 g)
Sunrider International Nu Puffs Cocoa 6 Packages
Sunrider International Sunny Fresh 10 Bottles
Sunrider Manufacturing L.P ElectroSport 10 Bottles

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. The Sunrider Corporation is in violation of Proposition 65 because the Company failed to provide a warning to persons using their products that they are being exposed to lead (22 C.C.R. section 12601.) While in the course of doing business, the company is knowingly and intentionally exposing people to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warning. (Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.) The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. 22 C.C.R. section 12601 (b)(1) (A).

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to a violator 60-days before the suit is filed. With this letter, ERC gives notice of the alleged violation to the noticed party and the appropriate governmental authorities. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to ERC from information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and referenced as Appendix A, has been provided to the noticed party.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against The Sunrider Corporation unless it agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: instrument to: (1) recall or reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further unwarned exposures to the identified chemicals; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65, ERC is interested in

seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC's attorney, Gideon Kracov, 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Fl., Los Angeles, CA 90017, 213-629-2071, gk@gideonlaw.net.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director
Environmental Research Center

cc: Karen A. Evans

Attachments
Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to The Sumider Corporation and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by The Sunrider Corporation

I, Gideon Kracov, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 14, 2011

Gideon Kracov, Attorney At Law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY"

On the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President The Sunrider Corporation 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501 Paul McCabe (The Sunrider Corporation's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 1625 Abalone Ave Torrance, CA 90501

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 14, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 14, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attumey, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Marlinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salmas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

> District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2121 Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 300 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Aftomey's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

1 2 3	GIDEON KRACOV (SBN 179815) 801 S. Grand Avenue, Ste. 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.629.2071 FAX 213.623.7755 gk@gideonlaw.net			
4				
5	Richard T. Drury (SBN 163559) Christina M. Caro (SBN 250797)			
6	LOZEAU DRURY LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250			
7	Oakland, CA 94607 Tel: (510) 836-4200			
8	Fax: (510) 836-4205 (fax) richard@lozeaudrury.com			
9				
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.			
11				
12				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
15		•		
16	 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER,)	Case No. <u>BC467138</u>		
17	INC., a non-profit California corporation,	Assigned to Hon. Richard E. Rico		
18	Plaintiff,)			
19	v.	REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION, a Utah corporation; DOES 1 through 10,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.		
21	Defendant(s).	Dept: 17		
22				
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties hereto, as follows:			
24	WHEREAS:			
25				
26	REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUD 1	OGMENT		

26

A. ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. ("ERC" or "Plaintiff") is a citizen enforcer of California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65");

В. Defendant THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION ("SUNRIDER" or "Defendant") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Utah and a person doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11 with an office at 1625 Abalone, Torrance, CA.

> Sunrider Alpha Herbal Concentrate 20C Sunrider Quinary Herbal Concentrate Sunrider Dandelion Root Herb Concentrate Sunrider VitaShake Coco Concentrated Herbal Food Sunrider Korean White Ginseng Sunrider International NuPlus Sunrider International Liqui-Five Sunrider International Quinary Sunrider International Alpha 20C Sunrider International Sunrise Sunrider International Vitafruit Sunrider International Vitalite Sport Caps Sunrider International WuChiaPi Herb Concentrate Sunrider International Vitalite Slim Caps Sunrider International Assimilaid Sunrider International Vitalite Action Caps Sunrider International Tei-Fu Metashaper Sunrider International Dr. Chen Veros Sunrider International Vitalite Fibertone Sunrider International Lifestream Sunrider International Top Sunrider International Vitalite Vitataste Sunrider International Vitalite Sunfit Sunrider International Prime Again Sunrider International Spirulina Sunrider International Conco Sunrider International White Willow Bark Sunrider Corp. Vitalite Sun Trim Sunrider International Dr. Chen Regular Secret Sauce Sunrider International Nu Puffs Cocoa Sunrider International Sunny Fresh

Sunrider Quinary Herbal Concentrate

Sunrider MetaBalance 44 Herbal Concentrate
Sunrider Dr. Chen Meta Booster
Sunrider Electrosport
Fortune Delight Peach Concentrated Herbal Beverage
Sun Bar Chocolate Herbal Food Bar
Chinese Goldenseal Root Herb Concentrate
Energy Plus Herbal Concentrate
Don Quai
Sunrider International Quinary

Any other products are not subject to the injunctive provisions herein, and are not covered by the release of liability herein;

- C. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed the chemical lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- D. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed the chemicals lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8;
- E. The Covered Products have allegedly been manufactured and/or sold by SUNRIDER for use in California since at least August 3, 2008;
- F. On July 7, 2010, November 5, 2010 and January 14, 2011, ERC served SUNRIDER and each of the appropriate public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice" that provided notice that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn purchasers and individuals using the Covered Products that the use of the Covered Products exposes them to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity ("Prop. 65 Notices") (a copy of the 60-Day Notices are attached hereto as Exhibit A);
- G. The Action was brought by ERC in the public interest at least sixty (60) days after ERC provided notice of the Proposition 65 violations to Defendant and the appropriate public

enforcement agencies and none of the public enforcement agencies had commenced and begun diligently prosecuting an action against Defendant for such violations;

- H. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein;
- I. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment;
- J. The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, covenants and agreements herein contained, the sufficiency and adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged by Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively, the "Parties"), the Parties agree to the terms and conditions set forth below:

1. Injunctive Relief. Within 10 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall not distribute into the State of California, or ship for sale or use in California, any of the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains lead in an amount in excess of the level set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25805, subdivision (b), unless each such unit of the Covered Products bears the following warning statement securely affixed on its individual unit packaging:

Deleted: that contain lead in an amount in excess of that permitted by Proposition

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm.

The warning statement shall be displayed on the unit packaging of the Covered Products with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual purchasing or using the Covered Products. Nothing in these injunctive provisions shall be construed to require Sunrider to continue to display the warning statement on the Covered Products in the following scenarios:

(1) if Sunrider modifies or reformulates the Covered Products so the amount of lead contained in each product is below the threshold requiring a warning under Proposition 65; (2) if new statutory or regulatory standards established applicable to lead no longer require Sunrider to display the warning statement for the Covered Products under Proposition 65; or (3) if new toxicological information or exposure assessments applicable to lead no longer require Sunrider to display the warning statement on the Covered Products under Proposition 65.

- 2. Civil Penalty, Payments in Lieu of Further Civil Penalties, Attorney's Fees, and Costs Assessment. Defendant agrees to pay the total amount of \$400,000.00 inclusive of all civil penalties, payments in lieu of penalties, attorneys' fees and costs assessments, and subject to approval by California's Attorney General and the Superior Court, as follows:
- (a) Defendant agrees to pay ERC a civil penalty in the amount of \$102,500.00 pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b). Plaintiff ERC shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25192.
- (b) Defendant agrees to pay ERC a payment in lieu of further civil penalties in the amount of \$100,000.00 for projects to reduce exposures to toxic chemicals, and to increase consumer, worker, and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals.

- (c) Defendant agrees to reimburse Plaintiff \$197,500.00, which is the total amount of costs and fees Plaintiff incurred on this matter, which amount includes Plaintiff's investigative, expert and attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to the attention of Defendant, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 3. **Payment Schedule.** Pursuant to Section 2 herein, Defendant agrees to remit the total amount of \$400,000.00 to Plaintiff, payable to the "Law Offices of Gideon Kracov Client Trust Account" and remitted to the Law Office of Gideon Kracov at the law firm's address noted in the Notice provision below. The schedule for the payment of these funds shall be a payment in full within 10 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. In the event that any of the payments owed under this Consent Judgment is not remitted on or before its due date, Defendant shall be deemed to be in default of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. Plaintiff shall provide written notice to Defendant of any default; if Defendant fails to remedy the default within two business days of such notice, then all future payments due hereunder shall become immediately due and payable, with the prevailing federal funds rate applying to all interest accruing on unpaid balances due hereunder, beginning on the due date of the funds in default.
- 4. Plaintiff's Release of Defendant. Plaintiff, and its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates (including those companies that are under common ownership and/or common control), divisions, predecessors, successors, and subdivisions, acting on behalf of itself and acting on behalf of the general public, permanently and fully releases SUNRIDER, Sunrider Manufacturing, L.P. (including its limited and general partners), and its officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

shareholders, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates (including those companies that are under common ownership and/or common control), divisions, predecessors, successors, subdivisions, downstream distributors, downstream retailers, downstream customers, and upstream suppliers,, all DOES, and each entity to whom each of them directly or indirectly distributed or sold the Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, and any other person or entity in the course of doing business who distributed, marketed or sold the Covered Products, from all claims of any nature asserted in the Proposition 65 Notices.

- 5. Limits of Release. Nothing in this release is intended to apply to (a) any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65; (b) any of Defendant's products not set forth in this Consent Judgment; or (c) any company which purchases the Covered Products from Defendant pursuant to a private label manufacturing agreement and resells them to California consumers under a different brand name or trademark.
- 6. Release of Environmental Research Center. SUNRIDER, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against ERC for all actions or statements made or undertaken by ERC in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against the Defendant by means of the Proposition 65 Notices.
- 7. Motion for Approval of Consent Judgment/Notice to the California Attorney General's Office. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Plaintiff shall notice a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the Los Angeles Superior Court pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations §3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all of the Parties to the Action and upon the California Attorney General's Office. In the event

that the Court fails to approve and order entry of the judgment, this Consent Judgment shall become null and void upon the election of any party as to them and upon written notice to all of the Parties to the Action pursuant to the notice provisions herein. ERC shall use its best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Office of the Attorney General. If the Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment, ERC shall use its best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner and prior to the hearing on the motion to approve this Consent Judgment. If the Attorney General elects to file papers with the Court stating that the People shall appear at the hearing for entry of this Consent Judgment so as to oppose entry of the Consent Judgment, then a party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties and the Attorney General, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void and any payments made pursuant to Section 7 of this Consent Judgment shall be promptly returned to Sunrider.

- 8. Severability. In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9. **Enforcement.** In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer within 20 days of receiving written notice of any alleged violation. In the event the Parties cannot resolve the dispute, this Consent Judgment may be enforced pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 or any other valid provision of law. The prevailing party in any such dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment may be awarded all reasonable fees and costs incurred, in addition to any other relief otherwise ordered by the Court.

- Governing Law. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11. **Notices.** All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and shall be sent by first class registered or certified mail addressed as follows. All correspondence to ERC shall be mailed to:

Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

And to:

Gideon Kracov 801 S. Grand Ave., 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017

All correspondence to Defendant shall be mailed to:

The Sunrider Corporation ATTN:Paul McCabe, Esq., Brandon Lam, Esq. 1625 Abalone Avenue Torrance, CA 90501

And to:

David Laufer, Esq. Albert Tong, Esq. Burke, Williams & Sorensen LLP 444 S. Flower Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90071

12. **Documents & Materials.** ERC shall return all documents and materials that have been provided by SUNRIDER either voluntarily or through discovery. Sunrider shall return all documents and materials that have been provided by ERC either voluntarily or through discovery.

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 13. Integration & Modification. This Consent Judgment, together with the Exhibits attached hereto which are specifically incorporated herein by this reference, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the Parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the Parties.
- 14. **Counterparts.** This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- 15. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.
- 16. No Admissions. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Defendant does not admit any violations of Proposition 65 or any other law or standard applicable to warning or disclosure concerning the manufacture, distribution, and/or sale of the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

1	DATED:	By:			
2			Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
3					
4	DATED:	By:	Reuben Chen, Vice President		
5			THE SUNRIDER CORPORATION		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
7	Dated:				
8	, satisfa.	=	Honorable Richard E. Rico		
9			Judge of the Superior Court		
10					
11	EXHIBIT A - July 7 2010 November 5 2010 and January 14 2011 Prop. 65 Notices				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26	REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATI	ED CONSEN	T JUDGMENT 11		

EXHIBIT A

Prop 65. Notices

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 2

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I. Gideon Kracov, being duly sworn, deposes and says:		
3	I am a citizen of the United States and work in Los Angeles County California		
4	business address is: 801 S. Grand Ave., 11 th Fl., LA, CA 90017, On 2012, 2012.		
5	Stipulation re Revised Proposed Consent Judgment, Proposed Order Approving Revise Consent Judgment		
7	The documents were served on:		
8	Albert Tong		
	444 South Flower Street Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90071		
10			
11	atong@bwslaw.com		
12	Harrison Pollak Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612		
13			
13			
15	Harrison,Pollak@doi.ca.gov		
16	V hands 's a large of the first of the large		
17	Lambda by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Post Office mail box at 801 S.		
18	Grand Ave., Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth above. I am readily familiar with my firm's practice of collection and processing		
19	correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on		
20	motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date of postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of deposit for		
	mailing in affidavit.		
21	_X_ via e-mail		
22	I declare under penalty of perjury, according to the laws of the State of California		
23	Wild the relegant to the difference of		
24	Executed this, 2012 at Los Angeles, California.		
25	Gideon Kracov		
26			
27			